

5

Designing experiments—keeping it simple

5.1 Three fundamental principles of experimental design

The concept of blocking in experimental design is introduced. To analyse a blocked experiment, the categorical variable BLOCK is included in the model formula. In this example, we compare two analyses: one in which BLOCK has been statistically eliminated, and one in which it has not. The second of these examples illustrates how to include two categorical variables in a model.

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.1 Analysis of bean yields	
Syntax	<pre>glm YIELD by BEAN /design BEAN.</pre>
Menu route	Analyze > General Linear Model >Univariate YIELD → Dependent Variable BEAN → Fixed Factor(s)

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.1 **Analysis of bean yields assuming a fully randomised design****General linear model****Between-Subjects Factors**

	N
BEAN 1	4
2	4
3	4
4	4
5	4
6	4

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: YIELD

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	444.435 ^a	5	88.887	14.586	.000
Intercept	6673.335	1	6673.335	1095.086	.000
BEAN	444.435	5	88.887	14.586	.000
Error	109.690	18	6.094		
Total	7227.460	24			
Corrected Total	554.125	23			

a. R Squared = .802 (Adjusted R Squared = .747)

When we move to include BLOCK, the menu route becomes more complicated, and we need to learn a bit more about how SPSS works. So far, we have just added variables to the Covariate(s) and Fixed Factor(s) panes, but behind the scenes SPSS has been constructing a default model from those choices. Up to now, that default model has been the one we want, but now it is not, and to specify the model we need to use the Model subdialog, as follows: We pick the explanatory variables we wish to include in the model from the *Factors & Covariates* pane, and transfer them to the *model* pane via the *build terms* arrow. In this way we can fit the simple model of BLOCK + BEAN (SPSS would automatically build a more complex model, as we will see later). The Model subdialog is the equivalent of the DESIGN subcommand in the syntax route.

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.2 Analysis of a blocked experiment							
Syntax	<pre>glm YIELD by BLOCK BEAN /design BLOCK BEAN.</pre>						
Menu route	<p>Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate</p> <p>YIELD → Dependent Variable</p> <p>BLOCK BEAN → Fixed Factor(s)</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin: 5px;">Model</div> <p>⊙ Custom</p> <p>Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 40%;">BLOCK</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">→</td> <td style="width: 40%;">BLOCK</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BEAN</td> <td style="text-align: center;">→</td> <td>BEAN</td> </tr> </table>	BLOCK	→	BLOCK	BEAN	→	BEAN
BLOCK	→	BLOCK					
BEAN	→	BEAN					

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.2 **Analysis of bean yields assuming a randomised block design****General linear model****Between-Subjects Factors**

		N
BLOCK	1	6
	2	6
	3	6
	4	6
BEAN	1	4
	2	4
	3	4
	4	4
	5	4
	6	4

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: YIELD

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	497.330 ^a	8	62.166	16.419	.000
Intercept	6673.335	1	6673.335	1762.480	.000
BLOCK	52.895	3	17.632	4.657	.017
BEAN	444.435	5	88.887	23.476	.000
Error	56.795	15	3.786		
Total	7227.460	24			
Corrected Total	554.125	23			

a. R Squared = .898 (Adjusted R Squared = .843)

A Latin Square design is an example of using two blocking factors in an experimental design. Both categorical blocking variables are added to the model formula. The following analysis uses the *oilseed rape* dataset.

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.3 Analysis of a latin square design	
Syntax	<pre>glm SEEDS by COLUMN ROW TREATMT /design COLUMN ROW TREATMT.</pre>
Menu route	<p>Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate</p> <p>SEEDS → Dependent Variable</p> <p>COLUMN ROW TREATMT → Fixed Factor(s)</p> <p>Model</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Custom</p> <p>Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model</p> <p>COLUMN → COLUMN</p> <p>ROW → ROW</p> <p>TREATMT → TREATMT</p>

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.3 **Analysis of a latin square design****General linear model****Between-Subjects Factors**

		N
COLUMN	1	4
	2	4
	3	4
	4	4
ROW	1	4
	2	4
	3	4
	4	4
TREATMT	1	4
	2	4
	3	4
	4	4

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: SEEDS

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	5072.750 ^a	9	563.639	11.161	.004
Intercept	189660.250	1	189660.250	3755.649	.000
COLUMN	1332.250	3	444.083	8.794	.013
ROW	1090.250	3	363.417	7.196	.021
TREATMT	2650.250	3	883.417	17.493	.002
Error	303.000	6	50.500		
Total	195036.000	16			
Corrected Total	5375.750	15			

a. R Squared = .944 (Adjusted R Squared = .859)

5.2 The geometrical analogy for blocking

Calculating the fitted model for two categorical variables

Revisiting the *Beans* dataset, the full coefficient table can be obtained by altering the level of output requested (see SPSS supplement chapter 3 and below).

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.4 Coefficients table for two categorical variables

Syntax `glm YIELD by BLOCK BEAN`
 `/print parameters`
 `/design BLOCK BEAN.`

Menu route Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate

 YIELD → Dependent Variable

 BLOCK BEAN → Fixed Factor(s)

Custom

 Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model

 BLOCK → BLOCK

 BEAN → BEAN

Parameter estimates

This would give the following ANOVA and coefficients table:

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.4 ANOVA and coefficient tables for a randomised blocked design						
General linear model						
Between-Subjects Factors						
		N				
BLOCK	1	6				
	2	6				
	3	6				
	4	6				
BEAN	1	4				
	2	4				
	3	4				
	4	4				
	5	4				
	6	4				
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects						
Dependent Variable: YIELD						
Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Corrected Model	497.330 ^a	8	62.166	16.419	.000	
Intercept	6673.335	1	6673.335	1762.480	.000	
BLOCK	52.895	3	17.632	4.657	.017	
BEAN	444.435	5	88.887	23.476	.000	
Error	56.795	15	3.786			
Total	7227.460	24				
Corrected Total	554.125	23				
a. R Squared = .898 (Adjusted R Squared = .843)						
Parameter Estimates						
Dependent Variable: YIELD						
Parameter	B	Std.	t	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Intercept	9.492	1.192	7.966	.000	6.952	12.031
[BLOCK=1]	1.000	1.123	.890	.387	-1.395	3.395
[BLOCK=2]	3.350	1.123	2.982	.009	.955	5.745
[BLOCK=3]	-.517	1.123	-.460	.652	-2.911	1.878
[BLOCK=4]	0 ^a
[BEAN=1]	11.300	1.376	8.213	.000	8.367	14.233
[BEAN=2]	11.925	1.376	8.667	.000	8.992	14.858
[BEAN=3]	5.625	1.376	4.088	.001	2.692	8.558
[BEAN=4]	5.975	1.376	4.343	.001	3.042	8.908
[BEAN=5]	2.525	1.376	1.835	.086	-.408	5.458
[BEAN=6]	0 ^a
a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant						

There are four blocks and six varieties of bean, and a coefficient is given for each level, but that for the final level of each variable equals zero exactly and is assigned no standard error. This is because the final level of each categorical variable is aliased (as first discussed in chapter 3). The aliasing convention followed in the main text is the not the same as that followed by SPSS. To calculate the full set of fitted values, we therefore need a small amendment to the method of the text. The amendment is the way in which the aliased value is calculated and used in subsequent fitted value calculations.

The coefficients for each level are given with reference to the last aliased value. In other words, in this example, block 2 has a mean 3.35 units higher than block 4, and variety 3 has a mean 5.625 units higher than variety 6. This allows a rapid visual inspection of the means of all other blocks with reference to the last block, and all other varieties of bean with reference to variety 6. With only one categorical variable, the reference level represented by the final level of a variable is simply the grand mean, as we saw earlier. But with two categorical variables, the reference level for each variable is a bit more complicated. We need to go into this a bit further in order to show how the coefficients are linked to the fitted values.

Table 5.1 illustrates the derivation of the fitted value coefficients for this particular example. The fourth coefficient for BLOCK is actually the mean of the deviations of the variety coefficients from variety 6; and the sixth coefficient for BEAN is the mean of the deviations of the block coefficients from block 4. This seems rather counterintuitive. However, a little algebra illustrates how this works.

Let B_1 = the mean of block 1; B_2 for block 2; V_1 = the mean for variety 1 etc. Then the grand mean may be expressed as:

$$\frac{V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + V_5 + V_6}{6}$$

or alternatively as

$$\frac{B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4}{4}.$$

However, we choose to make B_4 and V_6 our reference points. Every other block mean can be expressed as a function of B_4 : e.g. $B_2 = B_4 + \alpha_2$, and similarly $V_3 = V_6 + \beta_3$. If we substitute these functions into our two expressions for the grand mean, we find

$$B_4 + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{4} = V_6 + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4 + \beta_5}{6}.$$

Re-arranging for B_4 , we get

$$B_4 = \left\{ V_6 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{4} \right\} + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4 + \beta_5}{6}$$

or re-arranging for V_6 , we get

$$V_6 = \left\{ B_4 - \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4 + \beta_5}{6} \right\} + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{4}.$$

However, the expressions inside the curly brackets are equal — so these are set to μ . This gives us the same expression for the fitted values of B4 and V6 as in SPSS Table 5.1. The intercept, μ , can be thought of as the mean of variety 6 adjusted for differences between the blocks, or the mean for block 4, adjusted for differences between the varieties. Setting the coefficient for the final level of a variable to zero is therefore not always as simple as it seems!

To summarise Table 5.1 as a fitted value equation equivalent to the version given on page 87 of the main text, we have:

$$y = \mu + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4 + \beta_5}{6} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{Block} \\ 1 & \alpha_1 \\ 2 & \alpha_2 \\ 3 & \alpha_3 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{4} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{Variety} \\ 1 & \beta_1 \\ 2 & \beta_2 \\ 3 & \beta_3 \\ 4 & \beta_4 \\ 5 & \beta_5 \\ 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon$$

The following table shows how this formula works numerically for our example. It is convenient to introduce the symbols α_{ave} and β_{ave} for the average values of α and β , making this general expression as follows:

$$y = \mu + \alpha_{ave} + \beta_{ave} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{Block} \\ 1 & \alpha_1 \\ 2 & \alpha_2 \\ 3 & \alpha_3 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{Variety} \\ 1 & \beta_1 \\ 2 & \beta_2 \\ 3 & \beta_3 \\ 4 & \beta_4 \\ 5 & \beta_5 \\ 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon$$

SPSS OUTPUT FOR TABLE 5.1

Term	Level	Coefficient from SPSS output		Fitted Value
Intercept		μ	9.492	
Block	1	α_1	1.000	$\mu + \beta_{ave} + \alpha_1 =$ 9.492 + 6.225 + 1
	2	α_2	3.350	$\mu + \beta_{ave} + \alpha_2 =$ 9.492 + 6.225 + 3.35
	3	α_3	-0.517	$\mu + \beta_{ave} + \alpha_3 =$ 9.492 + 6.225 - 0.517
	4	α_4 (aliassed)	0	$\mu + \beta_{ave} + \alpha_4 =$ 9.492 + 6.225 + 0
		α_{ave}	$= \frac{1 + 3.35 - 0.517 + 0}{4} = 0.958$	
Bean	1	β_1	11.300	$\mu + \alpha_{ave} + \beta_1 =$ 9.492 + 0.958 + 11.3
	2	β_2	11.925	$\mu + \alpha_{ave} + \beta_2 =$ 9.492 + 0.958 + 11.925
	3	β_3	5.625	$\mu + \alpha_{ave} + \beta_3 =$ 9.492 + 0.958 + 5.625
	4	β_4	5.975	$\mu + \alpha_{ave} + \beta_4 =$ 9.492 + 0.958 + 5.975
	5	β_5	2.525	$\mu + \alpha_{ave} + \beta_5 =$ 9.492 + 0.958 + 2.525
	6	β_6 (aliassed)	0	$\mu + \alpha_{ave} + \beta_6 =$ 9.492 + 0.958 + 0
		β_{ave}	$= \frac{11.3 + 11.925 + 5.625 + 5.975 + 2.525 + 0}{6}$ $= 6.225$	

5.3 The concept of orthogonality

Loss of orthogonality leads to differences in adjusted and sequential SS for a variable. This may be illustrated by the *Beans* data set in which the variables MYIELD, MBLOCK and MBEAN are shortened versions of the original variables, leading to loss of orthogonality. The analysis must be done twice, once for each type of sum of squares. On this occasion we explicitly lay out the instructions for both analyses. In the output, however, only the ANOVA table is repeated, as the title and coefficients table are the same.

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.5 **Loss of orthogonality for two categorical variables**

Syntax

```

glm MYIELD by MBLOCK MBEAN
  /print parameters
  /design MBLOCK MBEAN.

glm MYIELD by MBLOCK MBEAN
  /print parameters
  /method sstype(1)
  /design MBLOCK MBEAN.
    
```

Menu route

Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate

MYIELD → Dependent Variable

MBLOCK MBEAN → Fixed Factor(s)

Model

Custom

Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model

MBLOCK → MBLOCK

MBEAN → MBEAN

Options

Parameter estimates

Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate

MYIELD → Dependent Variable

MBLOCK MBEAN → Fixed Factor(s)

Model

Custom

Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model

MBLOCK → MBLOCK

MBEAN → MBEAN

Sum of Squares: Type I ▼

Options

Parameter estimates

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.5 **Slight loss of orthogonality in a randomised block design****General linear model****Between-Subjects Factors**

		N
MBLOCK	1	6
	2	6
	3	6
	4	4
MBEAN	1	4
	2	3
	3	4
	4	4
	5	4
	6	3

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: MYIELD

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	498.704 ^a	8	62.338	24.339	.000
Intercept	5737.643	1	5737.643	2240.178	.000
MBLOCK	49.690	3	16.563	6.467	.006
MBEAN	449.413	5	89.883	35.093	.000
Error	33.296	13	2.561		
Total	6741.280	22			
Corrected Total	532.000	21			

a. R Squared = .937 (Adjusted R Squared = .899)

(Contd.)

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.5 (Contd.)

Parameter Estimates

Dependent Variable: MYIELD

Parameter	B	Std. Error	t	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Intercept	8.658	1.307	6.626	.000	5.835	11.481
[MBLOCK=1]	.897	1.067	.841	.416	-1.408	3.202
[MBLOCK=2]	3.247	1.067	3.044	.009	.942	5.552
[MBLOCK=3]	-.619	1.067	-.581	.571	-2.924	1.686
[MBLOCK=4]	0 ^a
[MBEAN=1]	12.210	1.244	9.816	.000	9.523	14.898
[MBEAN=2]	13.900	1.307	10.637	.000	11.077	16.723
[MBEAN=3]	6.535	1.244	5.254	.000	3.848	9.223
[MBEAN=4]	6.885	1.244	5.535	.000	4.198	9.573
[MBEAN=5]	3.435	1.244	2.762	.016	.748	6.123
[MBEAN=6]	0 ^a

a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant.

and the second analysis produces this ANOVA table instead

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: MYIELD

Source	Type I Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	498.704 ^a	8	62.338	24.339	.000
Intercept	6209.280	1	6209.280	2424.321	.000
MBLOCK	49.291	3	16.430	6.415	.007
MBEAN	449.413	5	89.883	35.093	.000
Error	33.296	13	2.561		
Total	6741.280	22			
Corrected Total	532.000	21			

a. R Squared = .937 (Adjusted R Squared = .899)

5.5 Exercises

Growing carnations

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.6

Analysis of the number of carnation blooms with bed, water and shade

```
Syntax      glm SQBLOOMS by BED WATER SHADE
            /print parameters
            /design BED WATER SHADE.

            glm SQBLOOMS by BED WATER SHADE
            /print parameters
            /method sstype(1)
            /design BED WATER SHADE.
```

Menu route Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate

 SQBLOOMS → Dependent Variable

 BED WATER SHADE → Fixed Factor(s)

 Model

Custom

 Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model

 BED → BED

 WATER → WATER

 SHADE → SHADE

 Options

Parameter estimates

 Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate

 SQBLOOMS → Dependent Variable

 BED WATER SHADE → Fixed Factor(s)

 Model

Custom

 Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model

 BED → BED

 WATER → WATER

 SHADE → SHADE

 Sum of Squares: Type I ▼

 Options

Parameter estimates

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.6

Analysis of the number of carnation blooms with bed, water and shade**General linear model****Between-Subjects Factors**

		N
BED	1	12
	2	12
	3	12
WATER	1	12
	2	12
	3	12
SHADE	1	9
	2	9
	3	9
	4	9

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: SQBLOOMS

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	9.494 ^a	7	1.356	6.208	.000
Intercept	584.390	1	584.390	2674.844	.000
BED	4.132	2	2.066	9.457	.001
WATER	3.715	2	1.858	8.503	.001
SHADE	1.646	3	.549	2.512	.079
Error	6.117	28	.218		
Total	600.002	36			
Corrected Total	15.611	35			

a. R Squared = .608 (Adjusted R Squared = .510)

(Contd.)

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.6 (Contd.)

Parameter Estimates

Dependent Variable: SQBLOOMS

Parameter	B	Std.	t	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Intercept	3.354	.220	15.220	.000	2.902	3.805
[BED=1]	.504	.191	2.643	.013	.114	.895
[BED=2]	.823	.191	4.313	.000	.432	1.214
[BED=3]	0 ^a
[WATER=1]	-.449	.191	-2.353	.026	-.840	-5.804E-02
[WATER=2]	.335	.191	1.757	.090	-5.563E-02	.726
[WATER=3]	0 ^a
[SHADE=1]	.367	.220	1.667	.107	-8.402E-02	.819
[SHADE=2]	.564	.220	2.561	.016	.113	1.016
[SHADE=3]	.152	.220	.688	.497	-.300	.603
[SHADE=4]	0 ^a

a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant

and the second analysis produces the following ANOVA table instead...

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: SQBLOOMS

Source	Type I Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	9.494 ^a	7	1.356	6.208	.000
Intercept	584.390	1	584.390	2674.844	.000
BED	4.132	2	2.066	9.457	.001
WATER	3.715	2	1.858	8.503	.001
SHADE	1.646	3	.549	2.512	.079
Error	6.117	28	.218		
Total	600.002	36			
Corrected Total	15.611	35			

a. R Squared = .608 (Adjusted R Squared = .510)

72 Designing experiments—keeping it simple

The next analysis does not include BED as a block.

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.7 The carnation bloom analysis without bed used as a block	
Syntax	<pre>glm SQBLOOMS by WATER SHADE /print parameters /design WATER SHADE.</pre>
Menu route	Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate SQBLOOMS → Dependent Variable WATER SHADE → Fixed Factor(s) <input type="button" value="Model"/> <input type="radio"/> Custom Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model WATER → WATER SHADE → SHADE <input type="button" value="Options"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Parameter estimates

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.7 **The carnation bloom analysis without bed used as a block****General linear model****Between-Subjects Factors**

		N
WATER	1	12
	2	12
	3	12
SHADE	1	9
	2	9
	3	9
	4	9

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: SQBLOOMS

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	5.362 ^a	5	1.072	3.139	.021
Intercept	584.390	1	584.390	1710.474	.000
WATER	3.715	2	1.858	5.437	.010
SHADE	1.646	3	.549	1.606	.209
Error	10.250	30	.342		
Total	600.002	36			
Corrected Total	15.611	35			

a. R Squared = .343 (Adjusted R Squared = .234)

Parameter Estimates

Dependent Variable: SQBLOOMS

Parameter	B	Std. Error	t	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Intercept	3.796	.239	15.908	.000	3.309	4.283
[WATER=1]	-.449	.239	-1.881	.070	-.936	3.842E-02
[WATER=2]	.335	.239	1.405	.170	-.152	.823
[WATER=3]	0 ^a
[SHADE=1]	.367	.276	1.333	.193	-.195	.930
[SHADE=2]	.564	.276	2.048	.049	1.491E-03	1.127
[SHADE=3]	.152	.276	.550	.586	-.411	.714
[SHADE=4]	0 ^a

a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant

74 Designing experiments—keeping it simple

In the third analysis, three plots have been removed.

SPSS COMMANDS FOR BOX 5.8	
Analysis of the carnation blooms with three plot values removed	
Syntax	<pre>glm SQ2 by B2 W2 S2 /design B2 W2 S2. glm SQ2 by B2 W2 S2 /method sstype(1) /design B2 W2 S2.</pre>
Menu route	<p>Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate</p> <p>SQ2 → Dependent Variable</p> <p>B2 W2 S2 → Fixed Factor(s)</p> <p><input type="text" value="Model"/></p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Custom</p> <p>Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model</p> <p>B2 → B2</p> <p>W2 → W2</p> <p>S2 → S2</p> <p>Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate</p> <p>SQ2 → Dependent Variable</p> <p>B2 W2 S2 → Fixed Factor(s)</p> <p><input type="text" value="Model"/></p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Custom</p> <p>Factors & Covariates → Build Terms → Model</p> <p>B2 → B2</p> <p>W2 → W2</p> <p>S2 → S2</p> <p>Sum of Squares: <input type="text" value="Type I ▼"/></p>

SPSS OUTPUT FOR BOX 5.8

Analysis of the carnation blooms with three plot values removed**General linear model****Between-Subjects Factors**

		N
B2	1	11
	2	12
	3	10
W2	1	11
	2	11
	3	11
S2	1	9
	2	8
	3	9
	4	7

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: SQ2

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	8.649 ^a	7	1.236	7.495	.000
Intercept	537.900	1	537.900	3262.928	.000
B2	2.649	2	1.325	8.035	.002
W2	4.676	2	2.338	14.184	.000
S2	.807	3	.269	1.632	.207
Error	4.121	25	.165		
Total	566.001	33			
Corrected Total	12.770	32			

a. R Squared = .677 (Adjusted R Squared = .587)

*and the second analysis produces the following ANOVA table instead.***Tests of Between-Subjects Effects**

Dependent Variable: SQ2

Source	Type I Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	8.649 ^a	7	1.236	7.495	.000
Intercept	553.230	1	553.230	3355.924	.000
B2	2.763	2	1.381	8.379	.002
W2	5.079	2	2.540	15.406	.000
S2	.807	3	.269	1.632	.207
Error	4.121	25	.165		
Total	566.001	33			
Corrected Total	12.770	32			

a. R Squared = .677 (Adjusted R Squared = .587)

76 Designing experiments—keeping it simple

The dorsal crest of the male smooth newt

See SPSS output for this exercise in the answers for exercises.