

CHAPTER 5

DUALITY

1. A Banach space X is *separable* if it contains a countable dense subset. Prove that if X^* is separable, then X is separable (but the converse is false). Hint: let $\{x_n^*\}$ be a sequence of unit vectors dense in the unit sphere of X^* . Pick unit vectors x_n in X such that $|x_n^*x_n| > 1/2$. Use Corollary 5.5 to show that $\text{span}\{x_n\}$ is dense in X ; the same is true when the scalars are complex numbers with *rational* real and imaginary parts.

Solution.

If $X = \{0\}$, there is nothing to prove. So assume $X \neq \{0\}$, so that also $X^* \neq \{0\}$, by Corollary 5.7. Suppose X^* is separable; let then $y_n^* \neq 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be dense in X^* . Denote $x_n^* = y_n^*/(\|y_n^*\|)$, and let S^* be the unit sphere of X^* . If $x^* \in S^*$, there exist $y_{n_k}^*$ converging to x^* in X^* . By continuity of the norm, $\|y_{n_k}^*\| \rightarrow 1$, and therefore $x_{n_k}^*$ converge to x^* . Thus the unit vectors x_n^* are dense in S^* .

Since $\sup_{\|x\|=1} |x_n^*x| := \|x_n^*\| = 1$, there exist unit vectors x_n such that $|x_n^*x_n| > 1/2$. Suppose $\text{span}\{x_n\}$ is *not* dense in X . By Corollary 5.5, there exists $x^* \in S^*$ such that $x^*x_n = 0$ for all n . But then

$$\|x^* - x_n^*\| \geq |(x^* - x_n^*)x_n| = |x_n^*x_n| > 1/2,$$

and therefore no subsequence of $\{x_n^*\}$ converges to x^* , contradicting the density of $\{x_n^*\}$ in S^* . Thus $\text{span}\{x_n\}$ is dense in X . Since $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ (where \mathbb{Q} denotes the field of rational numbers) is dense in \mathbb{C} , it follows that the span Z of $\{x_n\}$ over the field $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ is dense in X , and Z is clearly countable. Hence X is separable.

(Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x_{n_1}, \dots, x_{n_p} \in \{x_n\}$ such that $\|x - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j x_{n_j}\| < \epsilon/2$, and there exist $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ such that $|\alpha_j - \beta_j| < \epsilon/(2p)$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Then

$$\|x - \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{n_j}\| \leq \|x - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j x_{n_j}\| + \sum_{j=1}^p |\alpha_j - \beta_j| < \epsilon.)$$

The following example shows that the converse of the statement in the exercise is false in general. Take $X = l^1$. By the solution of Exercise 7, Chapter 4, each $x \in l^1$ has the representation $x = \sum x(m)e_m$, which converges in l^1 . Thus $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_m\}$ is dense

in l^1 . As observed above, it follows that the countable set $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}+i\mathbb{Q}}\{e_m\}$ is dense in l^1 , that is, l^1 is separable. However $(l^1)^*$ (which is isometrically isomorphic to l^∞ , cf. Exercise 7) is not separable. Indeed, assume that there exists a sequence of elements $x_n \in l^\infty$ which is dense in l^∞ . Define $y \in l^\infty$ by $y(m) = x_m(m) + 1$. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|y - x_m\|_\infty \geq |y(m) - x_m(m)| = 1,$$

and therefore no subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ converges to y , contradiction.

2. Consider the normed space

$$C_c^n(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}); f^{(k)} \in C_c(\mathbb{R}), k = 1, \dots, n\},$$

with the norm

$$\|f\| = \sum_{k=0}^n \|f^{(k)}\|_u.$$

Given $\phi \in [C_c^n(\mathbb{R})]^*$, prove that there exist complex Borel measures μ_k ($k = 0, \dots, n$) such that

$$\phi(f) = \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(k)} d\mu_k$$

for all $f \in C_c^n(\mathbb{R})$. Hint: consider the subspace

$$Z = \{[f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}]; f \in C_c^n(\mathbb{R})\}$$

of $C_c \times \dots \times C_c$ ($n+1$ times). Define ψ on Z by $\psi([f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}]) = \phi(f)$. (Cf. Exercise 3, Chapter 4.)

Solution.

Define Z and ψ as in the hint. Then ψ is linear, and

$$|\psi([f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}])| = |\phi(f)| \leq \|\phi\| \|f\| = \|\phi\| \|[f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}]\|,$$

where the last norm is the norm in $C_c \times \dots \times C_c$ ($n+1$ times). Thus $\psi \in Z^*$ (when Z is considered as a subspace of the latter product space). By the Hahn-Banach theorem (Theorem 5.2), there exists a functional $\tilde{\psi} \in (C_c \times \dots \times C_c)^*$ such that $\tilde{\psi}|_Z = \psi$ and $\|\tilde{\psi}\| = \|\psi\| \leq \|\phi\|$. By Exercise 3 in Chapter 4, there exist unique functionals $x_k^* \in C_c^*$ ($k = 0, \dots, n$) such that

$$\tilde{\psi}([f_0, \dots, f_n]) = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k^* f_k \tag{1}$$

for all $[f_0, \dots, f_n] \in C_c \times \dots \times C_c$, and $\max_k \|x_k^*\| = \|\tilde{\psi}\| (\leq \|\phi\|)$.

By the Riesz Representation theorem (Theorem 4.9), there exist unique measures

$\mu_k \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$ such that $x_k^* f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_k$ for all $f \in C_c$, and $\|\mu_k\| = \|x_k^*\|$. Therefore by (1)

$$\tilde{\psi}([f_0, \dots, f_n]) = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k d\mu_k$$

for all $[f_0, \dots, f_n] \in C_c \times \dots \times C_c$. In particular, for all $f \in C_c^n(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\phi(f) = \psi([f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}]) = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(k)} d\mu_k,$$

with $\mu_k \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\mu_k\| \leq \|\phi\|$ for all k .

3. Let X be a Banach space, and let $\Gamma \subset X^*$ be (norm) bounded and *weak**-closed. Prove:

(a) Γ is *weak**-compact.

Solution.

By Alaoglu's theorem (Theorem 5.24), the strongly closed unit ball $B^* = \{x^* \in X^*; \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$ is *weak**-compact. Since Γ is norm bounded (that is, there exists a positive number K such that $\|x^*\| \leq K$ for all $x^* \in \Gamma$), we have $\Gamma \subset KB^*$. The set KB^* is *weak**-compact (because multiplication by a non-zero scalar is a homeomorphism of any t.v.s. onto itself), and therefore its *weak**-closed subset Γ is *weak**-compact.

(b) If Γ is also convex, then it is the *weak**-closed convex hull of its extremal points.

Solution.

If Γ is also convex, it is a compact convex set in the locally convex t.v.s. X^* with the *weak**-topology. By Corollary 5.31, it is the *weak**-closed convex hull of its extremal points.

4. Let X, Y be normed spaces and $T \in B(X, Y)$. Prove that T is continuous with respect to the weak topologies on X and Y , and $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is continuous with respect to the *weak**-topologies on Y^* and X^* . (Recall that the Banach adjoint T^* of $T \in B(X, Y)$ is defined by means of the identity $(T^*y^*)x = y^*(Tx)$, $x \in X$, $y^* \in Y^*$.)

Solution.

(Let $T \in B(X, Y)$. For each $y^* \in Y^*$, we have $y^* \circ T \in X^*$, and the map $T^* : y^* \rightarrow y^* \circ T$ is clearly linear. By Corollary 5.8,

$$\|T^*\| := \sup_{\|y^*\|=1} \|T^*y^*\| = \sup\{|y^*(Tx)|; x \in X, y^* \in Y^*, \|x\| = \|y^*\| = 1\}$$

$$= \sup_{\|y^*\|=1} \sup_{\|x\|=1} |(T^*y^*)x| = \sup_{\|y^*\|=1} \|T^*y^*\| := \|T^*\|.$$

Thus $T^* \in B(Y^*, X^*)$ and the map $T \rightarrow T^*$ is a (linear) isometry of $B(X, Y)$ into $B(Y^*, X^*)$.

Let $T \in B(X, Y)$. If $\{x_\alpha\}$ is a net in X converging weakly to x , then for all $y^* \in Y^*$

$$y^*Tx_\alpha = (T^*y^*)x_\alpha \rightarrow (T^*y^*)x = y^*Tx.$$

Thus $Tx_\alpha \rightarrow Tx$ weakly in Y . This proves that T is a continuous map from X to Y with their weak topologies.

Similarly, if $y_\alpha^* \rightarrow y^*$ weak* in Y^* , then for all $x \in X$,

$$(T^*y_\alpha^*)x = y_\alpha^*(Tx) \rightarrow y^*(Tx) = (T^*y^*)x,$$

that is, $T^*y_\alpha^* \rightarrow T^*y^*$ weak* in X^* . Hence T^* is a continuous map of Y^* into X^* with their weak* topologies.

5. Let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ be conjugate exponents, let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a σ -finite positive measure space, and let g be a complex measurable function on X such that $\|g\|_q \leq M$ for some constant M . Then $\|fg\|_1 \leq M \|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$ (by Theorems 1.26 and 1.33). *Prove the converse!*

Solution.

Let g be a complex measurable function on X , such that $\|fg\|_1 \leq M \|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$ (for some constant M). Then $\|g\|_q \leq M$.

Case $p = \infty$. This case is trivial: take $f = 1$ ($\in L^\infty(\mu)$)!

Case $p < \infty$. Since $fg \in L^1(\mu)$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$, the map

$$\phi : f \in L^p(\mu) \rightarrow \int fg d\mu \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a well-defined linear functional on $L^p(\mu)$, and by hypothesis, $|\phi(f)| \leq \|fg\|_1 \leq M \|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$. Hence $\phi \in (L^p(\mu))^*$ and $\|\phi\| \leq M$. By Theorem 4.6, there exists a unique $h \in L^q(\mu)$ such that $\phi(f) = \int fh d\mu$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$, and $\|h\|_q = \|\phi\| \leq M$. Hence $\int f(g - h) d\mu = 0$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$. If $f \in L^p(\mu)$, also $I_E f \in L^p(\mu)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$ (since $\|I_E f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$). Therefore $u := f(g - h)$ is an $L^1(\mu)$ -function (by the hypothesis and Theorems 1.26 and 1.33) such that $\int_E u d\mu = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$. By Proposition 1.22, it follows that $u = 0$ a.e., for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$. Let

$X_k \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $\mu(X_k) < \infty$ and $\bigcup_k X_k = X$. Taking $f = I_{X_k} (\in L^p(\mu))$, the preceding conclusion implies that $g = h$ a.e. on X_k for each k , hence $g = h$ a.e. on X , and therefore $\|g\|_q = \|h\|_q \leq M$.

Uniform convexity

6. Let X be a normed space, and let B and S denote its closed unit ball and its unit sphere, respectively. We say that X is *uniformly convex* (u.c.) if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $\|x - y\| < \epsilon$ whenever $x, y \in B$ are such that $\|(x + y)/2\| > 1 - \delta$. Prove:

(a) X is u.c. iff whenever $x_n, y_n \in B$ are such that $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, it follows that $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

Solution.

Suppose the ϵ, δ property holds in B , let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and let $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$ be the associated δ . If $x_n, y_n \in B$ are such that $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x_n + y_n\| > 2 - 2\delta$ for all $n > n_0$, i.e., $\|(x_n + y_n)/2\| > 1 - \delta$, hence $\|x_n - y_n\| < \epsilon$ for all $n > n_0$, that is $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

Conversely, suppose the above "sequential property" is valid in B , and the ϵ, δ property fails. Thus there exists $\epsilon > 0$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $x_n, y_n \in B$ such that $\|(x_n + y_n)/2\| > 1 - 1/n$, but $\|x_n - y_n\| \geq \epsilon$. We have $2 - 2/n < \|x_n + y_n\| \leq 2$, so that $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, but $\lim_n \|x_n - y_n\| \neq 0$ (since $\|x_n - y_n\| \geq \epsilon$ for all n), contradiction.

(b) Every inner product space is u.c.

Solution.

Let X be an inner product space, and let $x_n, y_n \in B$ satisfy $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$. By the parallelogram identity (cf. Section 1.6, (8)),

$$0 \leq \|x_n - y_n\|^2 = 2\|x_n\|^2 + 2\|y_n\|^2 - \|x_n + y_n\|^2 \leq 4 - \|x_n + y_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, and therefore X is u.c., by Part (a).

(c) Let X be a u.c. normed space and $\{x_n\} \subset X$. Then $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ strongly iff $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$. (Hint: suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$. We may assume that $x_n, x \in S$. Pick $x_0^* \in X^*$ such that $x_0^*x = 1 = \|x_0^*\|$, cf. Corollary 5.7.)

Solution.

If $x_n \rightarrow x$ strongly, then trivially $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ (in any normed space!), by the continuity of each $x^* \in X^*$ and of the norm.

Suppose X is u.c., and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges weakly to x , and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$. If $x = 0$, there is nothing to prove (because $\|x_n - 0\| = \|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$, by the norms property alone). Assume then that $x \neq 0$. Since $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\| > 0$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\|x_n\| > 0$ for all n . Let $y_n = x_n/\|x_n\|$ and $y = x/\|x\|$. Then y_n, y are unit vectors, and $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly (because for all $x^* \in X^*$, $x^*y_n = x^*x_n/\|x_n\| \rightarrow x^*x/\|x\| = x^*y$.)

By Corollary 5.7, there exists $x_0^* \in X^*$ such that

$$x_0^*y = 1 = \|x_0^*\|.$$

Hence

$$2 \geq \|y_n + y\| \geq |x_0^*(y_n + y)| = |x_0^*y_n + 1| \rightarrow |x_0^*y + 1| = 2.$$

Hence $\|y_n + y\| \rightarrow 2$, and therefore, by uniform convexity and Part (a) with the sequence $\{y_n\}$ and the constant sequence $\{y\}$, it follows that $\|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$. Consequently $x_n = \|x_n\|y_n \rightarrow \|x\|y = x$ strongly in X , by the continuity of the map $[\alpha, x] \rightarrow \alpha x$ from $\mathbb{C} \times X$ to X .

(d) The “distance theorem” (Theorem 1.35) is valid for a u.c. Banach space X .

Solution.

Statement: Let K be a non-empty closed subset of the u.c. Banach space X , such that $(x + y)/2 \in K$ whenever $x, y \in K$. Let $x \in X$ and $d := d(x, K)$. Then there exists a unique $k \in K$ such that $d = d(x, k)$.

Proof. We may assume $d > 0$ (otherwise $x \in K$, and the claim is trivial).

There exist $y_n \in K$ such that

$$(d \leq) \|x - y_n\| \leq d + 1/n. \tag{2}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$ as in the definition of uniform convexity (since any $\delta' < \delta$ yields the same conclusion, we may assume that $\delta < 1/2$). Let $0 < r < d\delta/(1-\delta) (< d)$, and define $x_n = (x - y_n)/(d + r)$. Consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ for $n > 1/r$. We have $\|x_n\| = \|x - y_n\|/(d + r) \leq (d + 1/n)/(d + r) < 1$, i.e., $x_n \in B$ for $n > 1/r$. Also, for $n, m > 1/r$,

$$\|(x_n + x_m)/2\| = (d + r)^{-1} \|x - (y_n + y_m)/2\| \geq d/(d + r) > 1 - \delta$$

by the choice of r . Hence $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon$ for all $n, m > 1/r$. Therefore, for all such n, m ,

$$\|y_n - y_m\| = \|(x - y_m) - (x - y_n)\| = (d + r)\|x_m - x_n\| < 2d\epsilon.$$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy in K , which is complete as a closed subset of the Banach space X . Let then $k := \lim y_n \in K$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2), it follows from the continuity of the norm that $\|x - k\| = d$, as desired.

To prove uniqueness, suppose $k' \in K$ satisfies also $\|x - k'\| = d (> 0)$. Consider the two constant sequences of unit vectors $x_n := (x - k)/d$ and $y_n := (x - k')/d$. Since $(k + k')/2 \in K$, we have

$$2 \geq \|x_n + y_n\| = (2/d)\|x - (k + k')/2\| \geq (2/d)d = 2,$$

i.e., $\|x_n + y_n\| = 2$ (for all n). Hence

$$\|k' - k\| = d\|(x - k)/d - (x - k')/d\| = d\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0,$$

so that $k' = k$.

The following parts are steps in the proof of the result stated in Part (i) below.

(e) Let X be a u.c. Banach space, and let ϵ, δ be as in the definition above. Denote by S^* and S^{**} the unit spheres of X^* and X^{**} respectively. Given $x_0^{**} \in S^{**}$, there exists $x_0^* \in S^*$ such that $|x_0^{**}x_0^* - 1| < \delta$. Also there exists $x \in B$ such that $|x_0^*x - 1| < \delta$. Define

$$E_\delta = \{x \in B; |x_0^*x - 1| < \delta\} (\neq \emptyset!).$$

Show that $\|x - y\| < \epsilon$ for all $x, y \in E_\delta$.

Solution.

Let $x, y \in E_\delta$. Then, by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x + y)/2\| &\geq |x_0^*(x + y)/2| = (1/2)|x_0^*x - 1 + x_0^*y - 1 + 2| \\ &\geq (1/2)[2 - |x_0^*x - 1| - |x_0^*y - 1|] > 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|x - y\| < \epsilon$.

(f) In any normed space X , the set

$$U := \{x^{**} \in X^{**}; |x^{**}x_0^* - 1| < \delta\}$$

is a *weak**-neighbourhood of x_0^{**} .

Solution.

By definition, $x_0^{**} \in U$. If $x^{**} \in U$, pick any real number r such that $0 < r < \delta - |x^{**}x_0^* - 1|$, and let $N(x^{**}; x_0^*; r)$ be the basic neighbourhood of the *weak**-topology

with the indicated parameters (cf. paragraph preceding Theorem 5.24). For all $z^{**} \in N(x^{**}; x_0^*; r)$, we have

$$|z^{**}x_0^* - 1| \leq |z^{**}x_0^* - x^{**}x_0^*| + |x^{**}x_0^* - 1| < r + |x^{**}x_0^* - 1| < \delta.$$

Thus $N(x^{**}; x_0^*; r) \subset U$, and we conclude that U is an *open* neighbourhood of x_0^{**} in the *weak**-topology.

(g) For any *weak**-neighbourhood V of x_0^{**} , the *weak**-neighbourhood $W := V \cap U$ of x_0^{**} meets κB . (κ denotes the canonical embedding of X in X^{**} .) Thus $V \cap \kappa(E_\delta) \neq \emptyset$, and therefore x_0^{**} belongs to the *weak**-closure of $\kappa(E_\delta)$. (Cf. Goldstine's theorem.)

Solution.

By Part (f), U is an (open) *weak**-neighbourhood of x_0^{**} ; the same is then true for W . Since κB is *weak**-dense in the norm-closed unit ball B^{**} of X^{**} (cf. Goldstine's theorem, 5.25), it follows that $(\kappa B) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Pick $\kappa z \in (\kappa B) \cap W$ (where $z \in B$). Then $\kappa z \in U$, so that $|(\kappa z)x_0^* - 1| < \delta$, i.e., $|x_0^*z - 1| < \delta$, which means that $z \in E_\delta$. Hence $V \cap (\kappa E_\delta) \neq \emptyset$ (the intersection contains κz). This proves that x_0^{**} belongs to the *weak**-closure of κE_δ .

(h) Fix $x \in E_\delta$. Then $x_0^{**} \in \kappa x + \epsilon B^{**}$. (Hint: apply Parts (e) and (g), and the fact that B^{**} is *weak**-compact, hence *weak**-closed.)

Solution.

By Part (g), there exists a net $\{x_\alpha\} \subset E_\delta$ such that $\kappa x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0^{**}$ *weak**. By Part (e), $\|x - x_\alpha\| < \epsilon$. Since κ is a linear isometry, it follows that

$$\kappa x_\alpha = \kappa(x_\alpha - x) + \kappa x \in \kappa x + \epsilon B^{**}. \quad (3)$$

By Alaoglu's theorem (Theorem 5.24), B^{**} is *weak**-compact; therefore $\kappa x + \epsilon B^{**}$ is *weak**-compact, hence *weak**-closed. Consequently, by (3), $x_0^{**} \in \kappa x + \epsilon B^{**}$.

(i) Conclude from Part (h) that $d(x_0^{**}, \kappa B) = 0$, and therefore $x_0^{**} \in \kappa B$ since κB is norm-closed in X^{**} (cf. paragraph preceding Theorem 5.9). This proves the following theorem: *uniformly convex Banach spaces are reflexive.*

Solution.

By Part (h), for any $x \in E_\delta (\subset B)$, $\|x_0^{**} - \kappa x\| \leq \epsilon$. Hence $d(x_0^{**}, \kappa B) \leq \epsilon$, and the conclusions follow from the arbitrariness of ϵ .

7. Let $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in l^\infty$ be such that there exists a positive constant K for which

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \beta_n \right| \leq K \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n t^n \right| \quad (4)$$

for all $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Prove that there exists a unique complex Borel measure μ on $[0, 1]$ such that $\beta_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\mu$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover $\|\mu\| \leq K$. Formulate and prove the converse.

Solution.

Consider the Banach space $C([0, 1])$, and its dense subspace \mathcal{P} of all polynomials with complex coefficients (restricted to the interval $[0, 1]$). Define $\phi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\phi\left(\sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n t^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \beta_n. \quad (5)$$

The map ϕ is trivially linear, and (4) means that $\|\phi\| \leq K$. Let $x^* = \tilde{\phi}$ be the unique extension of ϕ as a continuous linear functional on $C([0, 1])$ (cf. Exercise 1, Chapter 4). We have $\|x^*\| = \|\phi\| \leq K$. By the Riesz Representation theorem (Theorem 4.4), there exists a unique regular complex Borel measure μ on $[0, 1]$ such that $x^*f = \int_0^1 f d\mu$ for all $f \in C([0, 1])$, and we also have $\|\mu\| = \|x^*\| \leq K$. Taking in particular $f = t^n \in \mathcal{P}$, we then have $\int_0^1 t^n d\mu = \phi(t^n) = \beta_n$. Conversely, if there exists a complex Borel measure μ on $[0, 1]$ with $\|\mu\| \leq K$ such that $\beta_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\mu$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, then (4) is valid. Indeed, for any $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \beta_n \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 \left(\sum_n \alpha_n t^n \right) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_n \alpha_n t^n \right\|_u \|\mu\| \leq K \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_n \alpha_n t^n \right|. \end{aligned}$$

8. Prove the converse of Theorem 4.4

Solution.

Statement: let X, Y be normed spaces such that $B(X, Y)$ is complete. Then Y is complete.

Let $\{y_n\}$ be Cauchy in Y . Fix a unit vector $x_0 \in X$, and then choose $x_0^* \in X^*$ such that $x_0^* x_0 = 1 = \|x_0^*\|$ (cf. Corollary 5.7). Define the linear operators $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$T_n x = (x_0^* x) y_n \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

Since $\|T_n x\| \leq \|y_n\| \|x\|$, the operators T_n are bounded (with $\|T_n\| \leq \|y_n\|$). Moreover, for all $x \in X$,

$$\|(T_n - T_m)x\| = \|(x_0^* x)(y_n - y_m)\| \leq \|x_0^*\| \|y_n - y_m\| \|x\| = \|y_n - y_m\| \|x\|,$$

hence $\|T_n - T_m\| \leq \|y_n - y_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $B(X, Y)$ is complete, there exists $T \in B(X, Y)$ such that $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$. In particular, it follows that $T_n x_0 \rightarrow T x_0$ in Y , i.e., $y_n \rightarrow y$ with $y := T x_0$. This proves the completeness of Y .